

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,087	07/12/2001	Butrus T. Khuri-Yakub	A-69570/AJT	3514
•	590 01/14/2003			
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 3400			EXAMINER	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187		DICKENS, CHARLENE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2855 DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	
	179/905,087	Applicant(s) KHURI-YAKUB etal.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of the	1 100	Fen 2855
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears		
Period for Reply	a Cl	2.113/03
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory mini c, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to ling date of this communica	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication.
Status/ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{(\delta/22/\delta)}{2}$	2	
This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
$\sqrt{\text{Claim(s)}} = \frac{1-25}{2}$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) + 2-5		is/are rejected.
		are subject to restriction or election
√ Claim(s) 1 - 25		
y Cicarrius)		requirement
y Cicarrius)	is □ approved [	☐ disapproved.
Application Papers		•
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected.		☐ disapproved.
Application Papers  ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ed to by the Examiner	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- ceived.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-  ceived.  ceived in Application No	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-  ceived.  ceived in Application No have been received	disapproved. (d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-  ceived.  ceived in Application No have been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	☐ disapproved.  (d)
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-  ceived.  ceived in Application No have been received  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	☐ disapproved.  (d)
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	☐ disapproved.  (d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	disapproved. (d).
Application Papers  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ed to by the Examiner  ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)- ceived. ceived in Application No have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	☐ disapproved.  (d).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. "U.S. GPO: 2000 472-999/4

Application/Control Number: 09/905,087 Page 2
Art Unit: 2855

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1.) acoustic impedance
- 2.) fluid flow and fluid velocity
- 3.) ultrasonic pump
- 4.) pressure
- 5.) counting particles
- 6.) mixing fluids (agitator).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-8, 15, 16, and 18-22 are generic to all the above species. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

Application/Control Number: 09/905,087

Art Unit: 2855

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,087

Art Unit: 2855

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dickens whose telephone number is (703) 305-7047.

cd/dickens January 13, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CLISTER 2800